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#### Abstract

In this paper we discuss a simple deterministic model for a field driven, thermostated random walk that is constructed by a suitable generalization of a multibaker map. The map is a usual multibaker, but perturbed by a thermostated external field that has many of the properties of the fields used in systems with Gaussian thermostats. For small values of the driving field, the map is hyperbolic and has a unique Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measure that we determine analytically to first order in the field parameter. We then compute the positive and negative Lyapunov exponents to second order and discuss their relation to the transport properties. For higher values of the parameter, this system becomes nonhyperbolic and possesses an attractive fixed point.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In the past several years a great deal of attention has been devoted to computer and analytic studies of the chaotic properties of fluid systems subjected to external fields and to Gaussian thermostats which maintain a constant kinetic or total energy in the system, in the presence of the field [1,2]. The interest in this subject stems not only from the method's value as a means of simulating nonequilibrium flows and computing their properties, but also because there is a connection between transport properties, nonequilibrium fluctuations, and the underlying microscopically chaotic properties of the fluid. This connection has been explored from computational [3-8] and analytic [9-13] points of view. The purpose of this paper is to describe a model system in which the transport and dynamics of a thermostated system can be studied in great detail, and in which one can explicitly construct the Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen (SRB) measure [14] and describe such properties as the transition from hyperbolic to nonhyperbolic behavior, and related phenomena. These properties have been explored in previous work [10,15], but have not yet been studied in great detail, due either to the complexity or to the simplicity of the models treated up till now $[11-13]$. The model discussed here allows one to gain some insights into the general class of properties of thermostated systems, while keeping the analytical and computational difficulties to manageable proportions. It is one of the few cases known so far where one can check some of the general properties of thermostated systems on a specific model.

The model we consider is a variant of the multibaker maps studied by Tasaki and Gaspard [16-18], which are deterministic models for the diffusion of a particle on a onedimensional lattice. The map considered here has, in addition, an external driving field which is constructed so as to model the effect of a thermostated electric field on charged particles in a two-dimensional setting. We present the model and then calculate the chaotic properties at small values of the external field. We obtain an expression for the stationary state SRB measure to first order in the applied field, and the positive and negative Lyapunov exponents to second order in the applied field. This allows us to verify the interesting re-
lations between the rate of entropy production, the zero-field diffusion coefficient, the drift velocity, and the sum of the Lyapunov exponents [2,10,12,13]. We conclude with a brief discussion of the transition to nonhyperbolic behavior as the field increases beyond a certain value, and discuss the connection of our model to other types of field driven multibaker maps [11-13].

## II. THE NONLINEAR MULTIBAKER MAP

We begin by considering a simple multibaker map that acts on the $(x, y)$ coordinates of particles, and that models a random walk on a one-dimensional lattice of unit spacing. The map, defined on $\mathbf{Z} \times[0,1]^{2}$, replaces the $n, x, y$ coordinates of a particle by $M_{0}(n, x, y)$

$$
M_{0}(n, x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(n-1,2 x, \frac{y}{2}\right), & 0 \leqslant x<1 / 2  \tag{1}\\
\left(n+1,2 x-1, \frac{y+1}{2}\right), & 1 / 2 \leqslant x<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $n$ represents the position of the random walker on the line and $x, y$ can be seen as bookkeeping variables keeping track of the deterministic cause of the apparently random walk. The subscript, zero, on $M$ indicates that this is the map defined without an external field, which we introduce shortly. The map $M_{0}$ is time-reversal symmetric. That is, there exists an involution operator $T$ which acts on the $x, y$ variables, but not on the box index $n$, and is given by $T(x, y)=(1-y, 1-x)$, with $T^{2}=\mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity operator in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, and such that $T \circ M_{0} \circ T(n, x, y)$ $=M_{0}^{-1}(n, x, y)$. If we consider periodic boundary conditions, the invariant measure is uniform and has Lyapunov exponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{+}^{(0)}=-\lambda_{-}^{(0)}=\ln 2 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we suppose that the particles are also acted upon by a thermostated electric field, whose action we now model. Our final map will then be a composition (to be explained below) of the field map (3) with the multibaker map (1).

The modeling of the field map can be done by considering the action of a thermostated electric field on a (continuously) moving particle, where the thermostat maintains a constant
kinetic energy for the particle. The equation of motion of a particle in such a field is given by $[1,2]$

$$
\frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}=q \vec{E}-q\left(\frac{\vec{E} \cdot \vec{p}}{p^{2}}\right) \vec{p}
$$

If $\theta$ is the angle that the velocity of the particle makes with respect to the direction of the electric field, then $\theta$ changes in time as $\dot{\theta}=-q E \sin \theta / p$, with solution

$$
\theta(t)=2 \arctan \left[\tan \left(\frac{\theta(0)}{2}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{q E}{p} t\right)\right]
$$

A time discretized version of this equation is obtained by defining an angle at discrete times $\theta_{n}=\pi x_{n}$, and letting $x_{n}$ satisfy

$$
x_{n+1}=\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left[\tan \left(\frac{\pi x_{n}}{2}\right) e^{-\alpha}\right]
$$

Here $x_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $\alpha=(q E / p) \tau$, with $\tau$ the time step, which for the time being we set equal to unity. Note that we restricted our attention to angles $\theta \in[0, \pi]$, taking advantage of the symmetry $\theta \leftrightarrow-\theta$.

We now introduce a one parameter family of maps of the unit interval onto itself by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}(x)=\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left[\tan \left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) e^{-\alpha}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}(x)=1-\varphi_{-\alpha}(1-x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the time-reversal symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \circ\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \mathbf{1}\right) \circ T(x, y)=\left(x, \varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}(y)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is defined above, and the operator $\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ acts on a point $(x, y)$, say to produce $\left(\varphi_{\alpha}(x), y\right)$, and we have denoted the identity operator acting on the $y$ coordinate by $\mathbf{1}$. We also point out the fact that the map $\varphi_{\alpha}(x)$ has the property, under successive iterations, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=\varphi_{2 \alpha}(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that the field map is a good discretization of a continuous process in time.

We can now construct the following nonlinear (or field driven) multibaker map as a time-reversal symmetric composition of the multibaker map (1) with the field map (3) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha}(n, x, y)=\left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right) \circ M_{0} \circ\left(\mathbf{1}, \varphi_{\alpha}, \mathbf{1}\right)(n, x, y) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which takes the explicit form

$$
M_{\alpha}(n, x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(n-1,2 \varphi_{\alpha}(x), \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)\right), & 0 \leqslant x<\varphi_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)  \tag{8}\\ \left(n+1,2 \varphi_{\alpha}(x)-1, \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\frac{y+1}{2}\right)\right), & \varphi_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leqslant x<1\end{cases}
$$

The leftmost identity operators in each of the field maps in Eq. (7) act on the cell index $n$ and express the fact that the field maps do not change the value of the cell index. Only the baker map moves points from one cell to the next. We refer to Fig. 5 at the end of this paper for an illustration of the projection of $M_{\alpha}$ along the $x$ interval. The time-reversal symmetry of this map $T \circ M_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} T(x, y)=M_{\alpha}^{-1}(x, y)$, with $T$ defined as above, follows straightforwardly from Eqs. (4) and (5).

## III. HYPERBOLIC REGIME

As long as $\alpha<\ln (2), M_{\alpha}$ is expanding along the $x$ direction, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\partial M_{\alpha x}}{\partial x}>1
$$

so that standard theorems guarantee the existence and uniqueness of an SRB measure [19]. In this section we solve for the invariant density and give an analytic expression of this invariant measure.

We want to find the stationary eigenfunction, equivalently, the invariant density, $\rho(n, x, y)$, of the Perron-Frobenius operator for a system with periodic boundary conditions. This implies that $\rho$ does not depend upon $n$, but only on $x, y$ and satisfies the equation

$$
\rho(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\varphi_{-\alpha}^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \varphi_{-\alpha}^{\prime}(y) \rho\left(\varphi_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), 2 \varphi_{-\alpha}(y)\right), & 0 \leqslant y<\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),  \tag{9}\\
\varphi_{-\alpha}^{\prime}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) \varphi_{-\alpha}^{\prime}(y) \rho\left(\varphi_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right), 2 \varphi_{-\alpha}(y)-1\right), & \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leqslant y<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the argument. We solve this equation by expanding the density in powers of the field parameter,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x, y) \simeq 1+\alpha \rho^{(1)}(x, y)+\alpha^{2} \rho^{(2)}(x, y)+o\left(\alpha^{3}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small $\alpha$, the low field regime, we expand $\varphi_{\alpha}$ in powers of the field parameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha}(x) \simeq x-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \sin (\pi x)+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 \pi} \sin (2 \pi x)+o\left(\alpha^{3}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first order correction to the invariant density is found by decomposing it in Fourier modes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{(1)}(x, y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[a_{k}(y) \cos (2 \pi k x)+b_{k}(y) \sin (2 \pi k x)\right] . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the expansion for $\rho$, Eq. (10), and Eq. (12) in Eq. (9), we can find the $a_{k}$ 's and $b_{k}$ 's. However, as a result of the phase space contraction, the density is a singular function of the $y$ coordinate so that we cannot represent the $a_{k}$ 's and $b_{k}$ 's in terms of standard functions [20]. For our purposes, it is enough to perform a partial integration of the density along the $y$ direction so as to obtain continuous coefficients for the Fourier modes. We thus define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} a_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}, \quad B_{k}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} b_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, to lowest order in $\alpha$, are found to satisfy the recursion relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0(y)}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2} A_{0}(2 y)+\frac{2 y}{\pi}+\frac{1}{\pi} \sin (\pi y)+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k^{\prime}}(2 y)}{k^{\prime}}, & 0 \leqslant y<\frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{2} A_{0}(2 y-1)+\frac{2(1-y)}{\pi}+\frac{1}{\pi} \sin (\pi y)+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }}\left(\frac{B_{k^{\prime}}(1)}{k^{\prime}}-\frac{B_{k^{\prime}}(2 y-1)}{k^{\prime}}\right), & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant y<1 .
\end{array}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& A_{k(y)}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2} A_{2 k}(2 y)-\frac{y}{\pi\left(4 k^{2}-1 / 4\right)}-\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{k^{\prime}}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} B_{k^{\prime}}(2 y), & 0 \leqslant y<\frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[A_{2 k}(1)+A_{2 k}(2 y-1)\right]-\frac{1-y}{\pi\left(4 k^{2}-1 / 4\right)}-\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{k^{\prime}}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}}\left[B_{k^{\prime}}(1)-B_{k^{\prime}}(2 y-1)\right], & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant y<1 .
\end{array}\right.  \tag{15}\\
& B_{k(y)}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2} B_{2 k}(2 y)+\frac{4 k y}{\pi\left(4 k^{2}-1 / 4\right)}+\frac{4 k}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{1}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} A_{k^{\prime}}(2 y), & 0 \leqslant y<\frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[B_{2 k}(1)+B_{2 k}(2 y-1)\right]+\frac{4 k y}{\pi\left(4 k^{2}-1 / 4\right)}+\frac{4 k}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{1}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}}\left[A_{k^{\prime}}(1)-A_{k^{\prime}}(2 y-1)\right], & \frac{1}{2} \leqslant y<1 .
\end{array}\right. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, for $y=1$, we find $A_{k}(1)=A_{2 k}(1)$ and $B_{k}(1)=B_{2 k}(1)+4 k / \pi\left(4 k^{2}-1 / 4\right)$, whose solutions are, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(1)=0, \quad B_{k}(1)=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n} k}{2^{2(n+1)} k^{2}-1 / 4} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the projection of $\rho^{(1)}(x, y)$ along the $x$ direction is a smooth function of $x$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{(1)}(x) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{(1)}(x, y) d y=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{k}(1) \sin (2 \pi k x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the coefficients $A_{k}(y)$ and $B_{k}(y)$ numerically, we need to select a cutoff value $k_{\text {max }}$ of $k$ beyond which we set all the coefficients to be zero. In Figs. $1-3$, we show $A_{0}$ and the first five $A_{k}$ 's and $B_{k}$ 's, respectively, computed by setting $k_{\text {max }}=250$.

Although we have found the invariant density only to first order, we can now compute the corrections to the Lyapunov exponents to second order in $\alpha$. For $\lambda_{+}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{+} & =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \rho(x, y) \ln \left[2 \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)\right] \\
& =\ln (2)-\alpha^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\int_{0}^{1} d x \rho^{(1)}(x) \cos (\pi x)\right) \\
& =\ln (2)-\alpha^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k B_{k}(1)}{4 k^{2}-1}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{+}^{(0)}-\alpha^{2}\left(0.6661513 \pm 10^{-7}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the normalization condition for $\rho(x, y)$ which requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \rho^{(2)}(x, y)=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute $\lambda_{-}$, we need the full expression of $\rho^{(1)}$, Eqs. (12)-(16):

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{-}= & \int_{0}^{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \rho(x, y) \ln \left(\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(y / 2)\right)+\int_{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \rho(x, y) \ln \left(\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}[(y+1) / 2]\right), \\
= & \varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)\left(-\ln (2)-\frac{2 \alpha}{\pi}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)-\alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{1 / 2} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \rho_{1}(x, y) \cos (\pi y / 2) \\
& +\left[1-\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)\right]\left(-\ln (2)+\frac{2 \alpha}{\pi}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)+\alpha^{2} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \rho_{1}(x, y) \sin (\pi y / 2), \\
= & \lambda_{-}^{(0)}-\alpha^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{4}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k}(1)}{k}+\frac{\pi}{4} \int_{0}^{1} d y A_{0}(y)[\sin (\pi y / 2)+\cos (\pi y / 2)]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} d y \sum_{k \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k}(y)}{k}[\sin (\pi y / 2)-\cos (\pi y / 2)]\right) . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not straightforward to compute these integrals numerically because of the irregularity of the functions (14)(16) and the number of different terms involved in their expressions. We can nevertheless estimate Eq. (21) within some good accuracy. To this purpose, we proceed by a number of algebraic manipulations.

We first substitute for $B_{k}(y)$ the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}(y)=y B_{k}(1)+k f_{k}(y) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $f_{k}(y)$ are found to satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(y)=f_{2 k}(2 y)+\frac{4}{\pi_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }}} \sum_{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} A_{k^{\prime}}(2 y), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leqslant y<1 / 2$, and $f_{k}(y)$ is odd with respect to $1 / 2$, viz., $f_{k}(y)=-f_{k}(1-y)$.

In terms of these, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k}(y)= & \frac{1}{2} A_{2 k}(2 y)-\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{k^{\prime 2}}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} f_{k^{\prime}}(2 y) \\
& -\frac{y}{\pi}\left(\frac{4}{16 k^{2}-1}+\sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{4 k^{\prime}}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} B_{k^{\prime}}(1)\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leqslant y<1 / 2$, and $A_{k}(y)$ is even with respect to $1 / 2$, viz., $A_{k}(y)=A_{k}(1-y)$.

We can now find an upper bound on the magnitude of $f_{k}(y)$. Indeed, $A_{k}(y)$ is everywhere negative and is minimal at $y=1 / 2$ (see Fig. 2). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{k}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{4}{16 k^{2}-1}+\sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{4 k^{\prime}}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} B_{k^{\prime}}(1)\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $f_{k}(y)$ is negative between 0 and $1 / 2$ and reaches its minimum at $y=1 / 4$. Thus, from Eqs. (23) and (25),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f_{k}\right| \leqslant & f_{k}^{\max } \equiv \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{1}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} \\
& \times\left(\frac{4}{16 k^{2}-1}+\sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{4 k^{\prime}}{4 k^{2}-k^{\prime 2}} B_{k^{\prime}}(1)\right) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we rewrite $A_{0}(y)$, Eq. (14), in terms of its Fourier modes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}(y)=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_{k} \cos (2 \pi k y)+H_{k} \sin (2 \pi k y) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1. $A_{0}(y)$ computed with a cutoff value $k_{\max }=250$.
We find

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{0}=1+\frac{4}{\pi}+\sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k^{\prime}}(1)}{k^{\prime}} \\
G_{k}=-\frac{4}{\pi^{2} k^{2}}\left(1+\sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k^{\prime}}(1)}{k^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{4}{\pi\left(4 k^{2}-1\right)} \\
+2 \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \int_{0}^{1} d y f_{k^{\prime}}(y) \cos (k \pi y), \quad k \text { odd } \\
G_{k}=\frac{1}{2} G_{k / 2}-\frac{4}{\pi\left(4 k^{2}-1\right)}, \quad k \text { even }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
H_{k}=0, \quad k \text { odd }
$$

$$
H_{k}=-\frac{4}{\pi k} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k^{\prime}}(1)}{k^{\prime}}+2 \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \int_{0}^{1} d y f_{k^{\prime}}(y) \sin (k \pi y)
$$

$k$ even.


FIG. 2. The first five $A_{k}(y)$ computed with a cutoff value $k_{\max }$ $=250$.


FIG. 3. The first five $B_{k}(y)$ computed with a cutoff value $k_{\max }$ $=250$.

Therefore and with the help of Eqs. (25) and (26),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} d y \sum_{k \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k}(y)}{k}[\sin (\pi y / 2)-\cos (\pi y / 2)] \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{4}{\pi}-1\right) \sum_{k \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k}(1)}{k} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k \text { odd }} \int_{0}^{1} d y f_{k}(y)[\sin (\pi y / 2)-\cos (\pi y / 2)], \\
& =  \tag{29}\\
& \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{4}{\pi}-1\right) \sum_{k \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k}(1)}{k}+O\left(\frac{4}{\pi}(\sqrt{2}-1) f_{k^{\prime}}^{\max }\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} d y A_{0}(y)[\sin (\pi y / 2)+\cos (\pi y / 2)] \\
& \quad=\frac{4 G_{0}}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k}}{16 k^{2}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{4 G_{0}}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k \text { odd }}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{G_{k}}{2^{2 n+4} k^{2}-1}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \frac{g_{2^{n-j_{k}}}}{2^{2 n+4} k^{2}-1}\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{4 G_{0}}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k \text { odd }}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{G_{k}^{(0)}}{2^{2 n+4} k^{2}-1}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \frac{g_{2^{n-j_{k}}}}{2^{2 n+4} k^{2}-1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+O\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k \text { odd }} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \frac{1}{2^{2 n+4} k^{2}-1} \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{f_{k^{\prime}}^{\max }}{k^{\prime}}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduced the notations


FIG. 4. A comparison of the values of the second order corrections of the Lyapunov exponents computed from Eqs. (19) and (21) (solid lines) and by following a trajectory of 10000 steps for ten values of $\alpha$ ranging from 0 to 0.1 ( $\times$ 's correspond to the positive Lyapunov exponent, + 's to the negative one).

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k}^{(0)}=-\frac{4}{\pi^{2} k^{2}}\left(1+\sum_{k^{\prime} \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k^{\prime}}(1)}{k^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{4}{\pi\left(4 k^{2}-1\right)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2^{n-j} k}=-\frac{4}{\pi\left(2^{2(n-j+1)} k^{2}-1\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Grouping Eqs. (29) and (30) together with Eq. (21), we can give an estimate of the second order correction to the negative Lyapunov exponent by performing straightforward numerical summations: we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{-}=\lambda_{-}^{(0)}-\alpha^{2}[1.9937 \pm O(0.04)] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fig. 4 we compare the values (19) and (33) of the second order corrections to the Lyapunov exponents to numerically computed ones.

In the next section we take the macroscopic limit and relate the sum of the Lyapunov exponents to the drift velocity and the zero-field diffusion coefficient.

## IV. MACROSCOPIC LIMIT

In order to take the macroscopic limit of this diffusive process, we consider the function $W_{t}(n)$ which is defined to be the total probability of finding a particle in cell $n$ at time $t$, and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}(n)=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \rho_{t}(n, x, y), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{t}(n, x, y)$ is the time-dependent density of the extended version of $M_{\alpha}$ and whose time evolution is derived by generalizing Eq. (9) in a straightforward way. Once we have eliminated the internal variables, $(x, y)$, we no longer have a deterministic process, but instead have a random pro-
cess which should, in a macroscopic limit, be described by a suitable Fokker-Planck equation with a drift term, representing the effect of the external field. The macroscopic limit is taken by scaling the space and time parameters, and then taking an appropriate scaling limit. This procedure was described for models of this type by Tél, Vollmer, and Breymann $[11,12]$, and here we simply outline the process.

We have previously introduced the time step $\tau$, and we replace the times $t$ and $t+1$ in the Frobenius-Perron equation by $T \tau$ and $T \tau+\tau$, respectively, where $T \gg 1$. Similarly, we scale the length of the elementary cells, $n$, by making them have a length $a$ on a side. We then replace $n$ and $n+1$ in the Frobenius-Perron equation by $N a$ and $N a+a$, respectively, where $N \gg 1$. Also the $x$ and $y$ variables have to be scaled by the factor $a$ and $\int$ by $a^{2}$, as well.

We can now proceed to the derivation of the FokkerPlanck equation from the Frobenius-Perron equation for $\rho_{t}(n, x, y)$. We obtain a Fokker-Planck equation for a field driven random walk by considering the difference $W_{T \tau+\tau}(N a)-W_{T \tau}(N a)$.

Using Eqs. (8) and (9), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{T \tau+\tau}(N a)= & \int_{0}^{\varphi_{-\alpha}^{(1 / 2)}} d x \rho_{T \tau}(N a+a, x) \\
& +\int_{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)}^{1} d x \rho_{T \tau}(N a-a, x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{T \tau}(N a, x)=\int_{0}^{1} d y \rho_{T \tau}(N a, x, y)$. We have scaled $x$ and $y$ so that their values are in the interval $0 \leqslant x, y \leqslant 1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{T \tau+\tau} & (N a)-W_{\tau}(N a) \\
= & \int_{0}^{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)} d x\left[\rho_{T \tau}(N a+a, x)-\rho_{T \tau}(N a, x)\right] \\
& +\int_{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)}^{1} d x\left[\rho_{T \tau}(N a-a, x)-\rho_{T \tau}(N a, x)\right] . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding $\quad \rho_{T \tau}(N a \pm a, x) \quad$ about $\quad \rho_{T \tau}(N a, x)$ and $W_{T \tau+\tau}(N a)$ about $W_{T \tau}(N a)$, respectively, and introducing the coordinate $X=N a$, and the time $t=T \tau$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial W_{t}(X)}{\partial t}= & \frac{a}{\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left(\int_{0}^{\varphi_{-\alpha}^{(1 / 2)} d x \rho_{t}(X, x)}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)}^{1} d x \rho_{t}(X, x)\right)+a^{2} \frac{1}{2 \tau} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{t}(X)}{\partial X^{2}}+\cdots \\
= & -\bar{v}_{t}(X) \frac{\partial W_{t}(X)}{\partial X}+\frac{a^{2}}{2 \tau} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{t}(X)}{\partial X^{2}}+\cdots \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

The drift velocity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{t}(X) \equiv \frac{\frac{a}{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)\left(-\int_{0}^{\varphi_{-\alpha}^{(1 / 2)}} d x \rho_{t}(X, x)+\int_{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)}^{1} d x \rho_{t}(X, x)\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right) \int_{0}^{1} d x \rho_{t}(X, x)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed further, we need to take the macroscopic limit where $a \rightarrow 0, \tau \rightarrow 0, a^{2} / 2 \tau=D$, and $\bar{v}$ is finite and nonzero. This implies that the electric field $E$ becomes infinite as $a^{-2}$.

For long times $t$ we are going to replace the drift velocity in the Fokker-Planck equation by its stationary state value. Suppose $t$ is large enough that we are nearing a steady state. Then in the limit of small $a$ and $\tau$, we may write the solution of the Frobenius-Perron equation $\rho_{t}(X, x)$ in Eq. (37) as $W_{t}(X) \rho(x)$ where $\rho(x)$ is determined by an equation easily obtained by integrating the equation (9) over $y$, Eq. (18), and $W_{t}(X)$ is close to, but not quite, a constant. Then the $X$ dependence drops out in the expression for the drift velocity, and we find that the stationary state drift velocity is simply (assuming that the density is normalized to a unit cell)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}=\frac{a}{\tau}\left(-\int_{0}^{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)} d x \rho(x)+\int_{\varphi_{-\alpha}(1 / 2)}^{1} d x \rho(x)\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (36) is the Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to a stochastic diffusive system with a drift. In the case of periodic boundary conditions that we consider here, we know from thermodynamics that the rate of entropy production in the stationary state is due solely to the existence of a current driven by the external field and for which the rate of entropy production is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{\bar{v}^{2}}{D} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the zero-field diffusion coefficient for this process is $D=a^{2} / 2 \tau$. We mention that in the limit of zero field, and periodic boundary conditions, the entropy production in the stationary state vanishes, since in this limit, there is no steady state drift, and the distribution function $\rho(X, x)$ is constant both in $X$ and in $x$.

With the stationary state density (18) computed in the preceding section, the drift velocity (38) is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}=-\frac{2 a \alpha}{\pi \tau}\left(1+\sum_{k \text { odd }} \frac{B_{k}(1)}{k}\right)=-\frac{a \alpha}{\tau}(1.15217813) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the entropy production rate is, Eqs. (39)-(40),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=2.65502889 \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\tau} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the usual arguments for thermostated systems, one expects that the rate of phase space contraction given by the negative of the sum of Lyapunov exponents should be equal to the macroscopic rate of entropy production $[10,13]$. For our case, it is possible to verify this relation analytically,
since we have been able to calculate all of the relevant quantities. The phase space contraction rate is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\lambda_{+}+\lambda_{-}\right)=[2.66 \pm O(0.04)] \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\tau} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eqs. (41) and (42) give consistent values. Thus our field driven random walk model has a well behaved macroscopic limit, provides an example of the correspondence between the macroscopic and microscopic relations for entropy production, and is analytically tractable.

## V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we have shown that it is possible to construct a nonlinear version of the multibaker map (i.e. it shares the topology and time-reversal symmetry of the original multibaker but is not piecewise linear) that simulates the action of an external field on a diffusive process. The field curves the branches of the map and is responsible for the phase space contraction that induces a stationary state on an attractor (it fills the whole phase space but its information dimension [14] is fractional as a consequence of the difference between the second order corrections to the Lyapunov exponents).

One of the motivations of this work was to provide an analytically tractable map which shows some of the properties of the periodic Lorentz gas where the particle moves among the scatterers in a thermostated electric field. The structure of our nonlinear baker map is sufficiently simple that we were able to compute analytically the stationary state SRB measure using a perturbation expansion in the field pa-


FIG. 5. $M_{\alpha}$ projected along the $x$ interval for $\alpha=0.5$ (dashed line), $\ln (2)$ (solid line), and 1 (long-dashed line). The origin goes from repelling to attractive as $\alpha$ increases above $\ln (2)$.
rameter. This allowed us to compute the positive and negative Lyapunov exponents, whose values we showed are consistent with that of the drift velocity. We also were able to compute the irreversible entropy production and showed that it is indeed given, apart from some factors, by the sum of the Lyapunov exponents.

We remark that at values of the field parameter larger than $\ln (2)$, the map loses its hyperbolicity. This is illustrated in Fig. 5. In fact $(0,0)$ becomes an attractive fixed point of the reduced map, which means that, on the lattice, all particles eventually move ballistically around the ring towards decreasing $n$ 's. The case $\alpha=\ln (2)$ is of particular interest. Indeed, the origin is an intermittent fixed point and, as a consequence, points can spend arbitrary long times in its vicinity. This can be seen to give rise to anomalous diffusion [21,22].

We regard the model given here as the simplest of a class of similar models which can be generated by varying an additional parameter modeling a magnetic field. Elsewhere [23] we will describe this class of models in much more detail, because they show a wide variety of features both in the hyperbolic and nonhyperbolic regions, including sequences of period adding bifurcations.

In a subsequent paper [24] we plan to consider boundary conditions other than periodic. It is in particular an important question to check whether, with flux boundary conditions,
the chain sustains a stationary state with an almost linear gradient of density. As we showed in another paper [13], some other models of field driven multibaker maps fail to have this behavior in a large system limit and, as a consequence, do not have physically relevant thermodynamics (the entropy production rate depends on the choice of the partition).

Another interesting perspective will be to calculate nonlinear corrections to the diffusion coefficient so we can go beyond the linear response theory. As shown by other authors [18], relevant tools for this study are the zeta functions and Pollicott-Ruelle resonances. Computing the first order corrections to the eigenvalue spectrum of the PerronFrobenius operator will be an important step towards understanding nonlinear diffusion for this model.
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